10.0003.

Approved @#\$ReleaseM999/09#14

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

REPORT NO.

INFORMATION FROM FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS

CD NO.

25X1A2g

COUNTRY PORETIME

DATE OF INFORMATION 30 June - 2 July 1550

SUBJECT FOREIGN RADIO REACTIONS TO THE KOREAN

SITUATION AND THE PRESIDENT'S DECISIONS, No. 4 & 5

HOW

DATE DIST. 7 July 1990

PUBLISHED Radio Broadcasts

WHERE PUBLISHED

NO. OF PAGES

DATE

PUBLISHED 30 June - 2 July 1950

Several LANGUAGE

SUPPLEMENT TO no-F-111,112,

REPORT NO.

113,114

MENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE THE UNIT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTION IN THE CONTAINS THE UNITED THE MEANING OF ESPIONAGE ACT.

S. C., SI AND 32, AS AMERICA.

FITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNDESTROYED THE REVELATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE FBIB (This strictly factual report is based solely on menitored foreign radio breadeasts reseived in Washington up to 7 a.m., 2 July 1950. It repreduces the 6th and 7th of a series of reports prepared in response to a special request.)

NUMBER 4, 1 July 1950

SUMMARY: To date, available menitored fereign radio breadcasts yield enly one Communist reference to the President's 30 Jane announcement authorizing the use of American ground force: in Kerea. This comes from Seviet-controlled Berlin which cites the President's latest desision as further "preef" of the new-familiar Seviet-Communist charge that U.S. "aggression" in Korea had been thoroughly and deliberately prepared. Otherwise, comments from Soviet-Communist sources add few new elements to their propaganda line. They continue to associate the American "aggression" with the need for intensified efforts in conjunction with the Stockholm-Appeal "peace campaign."

Western-oriented radios have reported the announcement very briefly, and a: yet without comment. Prior to the announcement, however, several sources considered that such a decision would be "inevitable." Other comment on Korean developments indicates concern with their effect on the United Nations.

Broadcasts from India, Egypt, and Indonesia continue to emphasize their neutrality. Belgrade broadcasts, avoiding comment, merely report events at Lake Success.

WHAT OF THE UNITED NATIONS?" Although Soviet Satellite radios are all critical of the alleged American attitude toward the U.N., the clandestine "Free Greece" radio is manifestly contemptuous. It declares that the Charter has become "a piece of paper used by Truman to get the rust off his bayonets." Albanian and Polish broadcasts, insisting that Washington has struck a heavy blow at the Charter, recapitulate Soviet efforts to augment the authority of the United Nations. Warsaw reiterates the Soviet contention that the U.N. resolutions are not binding since "at the present moment the Security Council is not functioning." The LONDON DAILY WORKER argues that the West "has reduced the once-respected Security Council of the United Mations to a discredited American-controlled rump" by preventing the participation of the Soviet Union. A Stockholm broadcast, rejecting the idea of active Swedish participation

CLASSIFICATION UES I DIO I ED X NSRB X NAVY DISTRIBUTION STATE ARMY

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 100054-0

RESTRICTED

- 2 -

in the Korean fighting, fears there is a "serious" generality that present developments may "lead to the country's membership in the U.N. being transformed into a sort of de facto membership of a widened Atlantic Pact." But it also quotes AFTONBLADET as undersing the State Department's argument that past performance shows the Soviets do not always interpret abstention to mean a veto in Security Council deliberations, and as finding that Soviet efforts to "sabotage" the U.N. have failed. Other Western broadcasts express the belief that the American decisiveness in Kores will lead to a strengthening of the United Pations.

WHAT OF THE "PEACE CAMPAIGH": Interest in the effect of Korean developments on the Soviet-Communist "peace campaign" is indicated in both Communist and Western broadcasts. The North Korean radio reports that the All-Korea National Committee of Peace Partisans has appealed to the World Peace Committee in Paris "to take appropriate steps" in the light of American intervention. (This appeal adds a new charge to Pyongyang's list of invidious stories about the United States; it emphasizes that, despite the fact that the President did not announce American air cover until 27 June, American sircraft engaged in "brutal bombings" of urban and rural areas in North Korea "from the very moment the Symman Ruee traitor gang launched the invesion.") Soviet-controlled German sources are also reported to be calling for greater "activation" of the "peace campaign." And Radio Moscow continues to invoke Korean events as a fundamental reason for signing the Stockholm "Peace" Appeal; but its allusions in this context are still relatively temperate compared to the vituperation evinced in comment from other Communist media. Western sources reflect cynicism over the "peace campaign."

WHAT OF THE ATOM BOMB?: Again there is only one monitored mention of the atom bomb in material about the Korean situation. The French Communist HUMANITE declares that the U.S., faced with the problem of supporting a South Korean army "surrounded by the hostility of the people, can find only one solution: to destroy not only the people but the army. Hence the plan of using the A-bomb, a mass extermination weapon." This alleged plan however, is not elaborated.

NUMBER 5, 2 July 1950

SUMMARY: The President's 30 June decision authorizing the use of American pround forces in Korea has been reported in monitored foreign broadcasts; but so far there have been surprisingly few comments. Nor have there been many comments about the progress of the Korean fighting. But several Communist sources have tentatively injected into their propaganda the idea that the North Koreans will eventually be successful and trat, in Moscow's words, "(imperialist) military adventures bring nothing but complete failure." Ho Chi Minh's Vietnam radio has finally broadcast its first comments on the Korsan situation. They mirror, without a single distortion, the established Communist version of events. Pyongyang has finally taken explicit note of the President's decisions in a violent attack against them by North Korean Foreign Minister Pak; but the text of his address was not yet been received in Washington.

Radio Moscow continues to broadcast "evidence" of America's long-term and reliberate preparations for aggression. The righteousness of the USSR's early withdrawal of its occupation troops from Korea is contrasted with the allegally long American delay in this respect; and the Soviet radio's other stereotyped charges about America's economic. political.

RESTRICTED

RETURN TO RECEIVES & RECORDS OF THE IMPAEULATELY AFTER USE

- 3 -

and military degradation of South Korea are added to "proofs" of U.S. preparations and intentions. Both Moscow and Pyongyang report world-vide popular eppesition to America's "criminal aggression"; the Seviet people are said to lead all the rest in the prefundity of their indignation. Moscow's first allusion to the miam bomb in the Korean centext appears in a breadcast reporting British M.P. Reberts' request for a Parliamentary debate on the subject.

Reberts' attitude is likened to that of the "American cannibals" and is presented as another argument for intensifying the "peace campaign."

Western-eriented radies yield speradic speculations on the nature of the next Seviet neve.

Radie Belgrade centinues to emphasize Yugoslav impartiality in reporting events. South

Kerean breadcasts are still disseminating reports about the actual or imminent recapture

of Secul; they also wern (as does Pyengyang) against rumers and enemy propagands.

THE KOREAN "PEOPLE" WILL TRIUMPH: The only Communist generalization about the North Kerean military progress appears in a breadcast from He Chi Minh's Victness radio: "The military situation in Kerea during the last few days shows that success will be with the Kerean People's Democratic Republic Government, a government which represents all the people of Kerea." Other Communist sources, while avoiding such a generalization, are beginning to hazard occasional expressions of confidence in the ultimate victory of the Korean Communists. The Chinese Communist Peace Committee declares, for example, that just as Fermesa is certain to be liberated so "the Kerean people are certain to triumph." A Seviet Heme Service broadcast claims that "the people of Kerea who have known true freedom will be able to defend it." And Radio Wersaw ridicules the U.S. for "seeking further defeats" in Kerea.

THE ATOM BOMB: Radio Moscow's first reference to the stem bomb in the Kercan centext appears in a breadcast about British "imitators" of the "American cannibals." Citing the President's earlier "beasting" about his personal responsibility for the use of the stomic bomb on Japan, the commentary notes the recent suggestion that Parliament debate the use of the atomic bomb in Kerca and claims that "Churchill's party colleague, Rober's,... openly called for the dropping of an atomic bomb on the peaceful cities of North Kerca" The commentator, however, does not elaborate further on this claim; instead, he uses it as an inducement to those who eppeads such "bloodthirsty demands" to "sign the Stockholm Appeal."

AMERICA'S ECONOMIC MOTIVES: Seviet-Communist explanations of practically all American policies and actions habitually include allusions to the U.S. "economic crisis" and to the consequent readiness of the American imperialists to engage in any immoral undertaking that might serve to delay or mitigate the crisis. And Seviet Satellite radies are new arguing that American intervention in Kerea is primarily metivated by aggressive-imperialist ambitions which include not only political aspirations but the desire to evert the economic crisis hanging ever the country. American industrialists are said to yearn for the profits to be gained from this "aggressive adventure."

WHAT WILL THE USSR DO NEXT?: Western speculation about the nature of the next Soviet move ranges from the Lenden observation that the USSR can withdraw from Kerca because she has "carefully" avoided direct and specific commitments, to a runer, reported from Steckholm by Reme radio, of "an ingenious plan which... consists in ordering Mae Tse-tung to contribute to the Communist forces in Kerca with a Chinese army." In this connection sources in Tokyo and Formesa are reported to have moted movements of Chinese Communist forces in the direction of the Yalu-River boundary between Manchuria and Kerca. Ankara adds to the speculation with the report of a statement by Senster Harry Cain to the effect "that the Kercan war was possibly a Seviet maneuver to distract attention from a strong Seviet attack on Assters Europe or the Middle East--which might come in the mear future."

RESTRICTED RESTRICTED